

*On behalf of the Trish MS Research Foundation's  
honorary Scientific Research Committee and honorary  
Board our sincere gratitude for giving your valuable time  
and professional expertise to review this application.*

### **Peer Review Critique/Commentary Report**

**The purpose of this form and the manner in which it will be used:**

The Trish MS Research Foundation's honorary Scientific Research Committee will use your critique, comments and ratings to assist with the selection of the best applications to be funded.

Your comments, both positive and less positive, will be very beneficial feedback to the applicant.

Your comments and critique will be returned to the applicant to enable him/her to submit Rebuttal comments, which will be taken into consideration by the Foundation's honorary Scientific Research Committee.

**Rating Guide:**

7 = Outstanding (top 5% Internationally)	6 = Excellent (top 10% Internationally)	5 = Very good (top 25% Internationally)
4 = Good (top 30% Internationally)	3 = Fair	2 = Marginal
1 = Poor		

**Peer Review comments:**

**Question 1. Relevance of this research to multiple sclerosis and alignment to the selection criteria.**

**Question 2. Significance, value and novelty of the hypothesis to be tested, including the appropriateness and feasibility of the research method and the strengths and weaknesses.**

**Question 3. Strength of preliminary data.**

**Question 4. Likelihood of completion of the project within the given time frame.**

**Question 5. Please comment on the recent research track record and productivity of the applicant and team.**

**Question 6a. Even if you think this application IS fundable, are there ways that the research could be improved?**

**Question 6b. If you think that this project is NOT fundable, what specific improvements are required to make it fundable?**

**Question 7. Overall rating of the science and overall comments and thoughts on the application.**

**Question 8. Is the requested Budget reasonable?**

**Question 9. In the context of a very competitive funding environment would you recommend this application in its current form for funding?**